

United States Patent and Trademark Office

To

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/523,548	03/13/2006	Thomas Lich	10191/3719	3865
26646	7590 12/21/2007		EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON LLP ONE BROADWAY			SY, MARIANO ONG	
NEW YORK,	NY 10004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3683	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/523,548	LICH, THOMAS				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Mariano Sy	3683				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become AB ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
•—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) 🔲	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 March 2006</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☑ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/13/2006</u> . 6) Other:							

10/523,548 Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 3, line 19 "signifimayt" should be –significant--.

 Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. Claims 7-9 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 7, line 1 "of claim 1" should be --of claim 6--,

Claim 8, line 1 "of claim 1" should be --of claim 6--,

Claim 9, line 1 "of claim 1" should be --of claim 6--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "22" has been used to designate both "filter" on page 6, line 6 and "sensor" on page 6, line 17 of the specification and also on Fig. 3. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective

10/523,548 Art Unit: 3683

action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 6, 7, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Belau (DE 10015267 A1).

Belau disclosed, as shown in fig. 1-3, an apparatus for determining a vehicle rollover, comprising: at least one inertial sensor 51, 52 for detecting a potential vehicle rollover; and a plurality of tire sensors 111, 121, 131, 141, wherein signals from the plurality of tire sensors are used to determine a plausibility of the detection of the potential vehicle rollover; wherein the tire sensors are configured as pressure sensors; and wherein the tire sensors are configures as rotational speed sensors.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

10/523,548 Art Unit: 3683

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Belau in view of Metzger et al. (US 5,071,259).

Belau failed to disclose wherein the plurality of tire sensors are configured as temperature sensors.

Metzger et al. teaches the use of sensor for determining temperature of the air in a vehicle tire.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to merely use the old and well known sensor for determining temperature of the air in a vehicle tire into the apparatus of Belau, as taught by Metzger et al., in order to minimize premature failure to the tire.

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Nakashima et al. (US 5,869,943)

Brown et al. (US 6,332,104)

Rieth et al. (US 6,424,907)

Woywod et al. (US 6,438,464)

Eenhoorn (US 6,499,796)

Ries-Mueller (US 6,604,025)

Kueblbeck et al. (US 6,618,656)

10/523,548

Art Unit: 3683

Lu et al.

(US 6,799,092)

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mariano Sy whose telephone number is 571-272-7126. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 8:30 A.M. to 2:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Siconolfi, can be reached on 571-272-7124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

iv^l√ M. Sy

December 7, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5